	4 Excellent	3 Good	2 Acceptable	1 Poor
Student-Centered Learning				
Effectiveness	Provides analysis and results of innovation effectiveness	Provides pre- liminary results of innovation effectiveness	Provides a hypothesis of the potential benefits	Does not provide information on the results and benefits of innovation
Innovative	Describes clearly and concretely the innovative and strategic character.	Describe reasonable the innovative strate- gic character.	The proposal seems innova- tive, but it is not clearly justified.	The innovative nature is not clearly described.
Students' consideration	The innovation is focused on the students and provide a detailed description of the teacher's methodology	The innovation is focused on the student but not provide detail regarding the methodology	The innovation is focused in the learning process but not specifically in the student	The innovation is not focused on the student- centered approach.
Research, Working Life, and Education Connection				
Background or effective use of current research	Includes a very detailed analysis of the current research and previous needs that support the innovation.	Reasonable analysis of the current research and previous needs that support the innovation, guaranteeing its feasibility.	Low description of current research and prior needs analysis.	There is no prior needs analysis to support the innovation. Poor use of current research
Connection between Educationand Research or Working life	Clear description of the innovation in relation with the connection to working life or research	Reasonable description of connection to working life or research	Low description of connection to working life or research	No connection to working life or research
Professional Development is clearly articulated	Clear benefit to professional development	Clear benefit to professional development	Low benefit to professional development	No clear benefit to professional development
Blended Learning				
Learning environments	Use of innovative and modern learning environ- ments which are clearly described in the context of the innovation.	The modern learning environ- ments used is described but it is not relevant for the innovation.	The description of the learning environments is poor and is irrelevant for the innovation.	No use of modernlearning environments
Technological tools	Provide a description of the relevance of the technological tools in the learning process. The technological tool is key in the innovation.	Provide a description of the relevance of the technological tool but the tool is not very relevant for the innovation.	The technological tool is irrelevant in the innovation.	Does not describe the technological tool.
Session format				
Active (i.e., workshop, seminar)	The session format is active, practical and innovative.	The session for- mat is active, but it is not practical or innovative.	The session for- mat is traditional	The session format is not well described, or it is based on tradi- tional lectures
Well-planned timeline (Breakdown of time)	The activities to be carried out are clearly planned in the time available	The activities to be carried out are well planned in the timeline available.	The activities are described but not the timeline.	The activities and the timeline are not described
Well-structured activities (that suit the topic)	The activities are well aligned to the topic and compliment the learning outcomes.	The activities are well-structured and fit the topic.	The activities are described but are misaligned from the topic.	The activities are unstructured and do not suit the topic.



